

# Description of simpleaffy: easy analysis routines for Affymetrix data

Crispin J Miller

December 14, 2005

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Reading in data and generating expression calls</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>Quality Control</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Filtering by expression measures</b>	<b>6</b>
4.1	Paired replicates . . . . .	8
<b>5</b>	<b>Viewing results</b>	<b>9</b>
5.1	Heatmaps . . . . .	10
5.2	Printing . . . . .	11
5.3	Generating a table of results . . . . .	12

## 1 Introduction

The *simpleaffy* package is part of the Bioconductor<sup>1</sup> project. It was written to provide a starting point for exploring Affymetrix data, and to provide functions for some of the most common tasks we found ourselves doing over and over again. It is based on the *affy* package, which does most of the hard work.

*affy* provides a variety of functions for processing Affymetrix data, with many more in *affycomp*. Even so, some tasks (such as computing t-tests and fold changes between replicate groups, plotting scatter-plots and generating tables of annotated 'hits') require a bit of coding, and some of the most commonly used functions can be a bit slower than we would like. This package aims to provide high-level methods to perform these routine analysis tasks, and many of them have been re-implemented in C for speed.

---

<sup>1</sup><http://www.bioconductor.org/>

Since *simpleaffy* is written over the top of the *affy* package, a basic understanding of the library and its vignette is a good idea. We also assume that the reader knows how the Affymetrix system works. If not, a brief introduction can be found at <http://bioinf.picr.man.ac.uk/>; a more detailed description is in the Affymetrix MAS manual at <http://www.affymetrix.com>.

## 2 Reading in data and generating expression calls

The first thing you need to do is to get R to use the *simpleaffy* package by telling it to load the library:

```
R> library(simpleaffy) ##the affy package also gets loaded
```

(NB: The examples in the vignette are hypothetical - we are putting together a data package containing a complete experimental dataset, when that's done, we will use these as the basis for the example code in this document).

Affymetrix data is generated by processing an image of the microarray (stored in a .DAT file) to produce a .CEL file, which contains, for each probe on the array, a single number defining its intensity. These are combined for each *probeset* using an algorithm such as RMA or MAS 5.0, to generate an expression level call for each transcript represented on the chip. Both the *affy* and *simpleaffy* packages work on the data in .CEL files, so we need to load them into R. In order to compute means, fold changes and t-tests, *simpleaffy* needs to know about the replicates in your experiment, so we must also load some descriptive data that says which arrays were replicates and also something about the different experimental conditions you were testing. This means that *simpleaffy* needs *two things*:

1. your .CEL files, and
2. a white-space delimited file describing the samples that went on them.

By default, this file is called *covdesc*. The first column should have no header, and contains the names of the .CEL files you want to process. Each remaining column is used to describe something in the experiment you want to study. For example you might have a set of chips produced by treating a cell line with two drugs. Your *covdesc* file might look like something like this:

	treatment
ctrl1.cel	n
ctrl2.cel	n
ctrl3.cel	n
a1.cel	a
a2.cel	a
a3.cel	a
b1.cel	b
b2.cel	b
b3.cel	b
ab1.cel	a.b
ab2.cel	a.b

Sooo, the easiest way to get going is it:

1. Create a directory, move all the relevant *CEL* files to that directory
2. Create a *covdesc* file and put it in the same directory
3. If using linux/unix, start R in that directory.
4. If using the Rgui for Microsoft Windows make sure your working directory contains the *Cel* files (use “File -> Change Dir” menu item).
5. Load the library.

```
R> library(simpleaffy) ##load the simpleaffy package
                        ##(which loads the affy package too)
```

6. Read in the data and generate expression calls, (using RMA), for example.

```
R> library(simpleaffy)
R> raw.data <- read.affy() ##read data in working directory
R> x.rma <- call.exprs(raw.data,"rma")
R> # alternatively, use MAS 5.0: x.mas <- call.exprs(raw.data,"mas5")
```

Take a look at the help files for a more detailed description of these functions:

```
R> ?read.affy
R> ?call.exprs
```

The function `justMAS` provides a faster implementation of the MAS 5.0 expression summary algorithm written in C. (described in: Hubbell et al. (2002) Robust Estimators for expression analysis. *Bioinformatics* 18(12) 1585-1592), and in Affymetrix’s ‘Statistical Algorithms Description Document’ that can be found on their website at <http://www.affymetrix.com>). As with any implementation of an algorithm, variations

can occur. The simpleaffy website, <http://bioinf.picr.man.ac.uk/simpleaffy>, describes what testing was done: you should be aware of these differences, and if in any doubt, use MAS5.0 or GCOS to generate your data.

By default, this is used `justMAS` by `call.exprs`, to use the `expresso` version specify 'mas5-R' instead of 'mas5' when you invoke `call.exprs`.

`justMAS` has not been tested on every chip type available - the majority of development has been on HGU95A arrays and newer. For more details of what chips it has been tested on, how the testing was done, and the results of the comparisons, see our website: <http://bioinformatics.picr.man.ac.uk/simpleaffy>.

### 3 Quality Control

One of the nice things about the Affymetrix platform is the collection of QC metrics (and accompanying guidelines) that are available. These may help flag up arrays that may have problems, and are described in detail in the Affymetrix *Data Analysis Fundamentals* manual, which can be found on their website at <http://www.affymetrix.com>.

Also look at the document 'QC and simpleaffy', which accompanies this document. It discusses QC metrics in significantly more detail than this vignette. A brief introduction can be found below...

The function `qc` generates the most commonly used metrics:

1. Average background
2. Scale factor
3. Number of genes called present (see the note on detection p-values) described below.
4. 3' to 5' ratios

All of these stats are parameters computed for/from the MAS 5.0 algorithm. Affy's QC requires that the Scale Factor for all chips are within 3-fold of one another, and that the average background and percent present calls for each chip are 'similar'. Affy chips also use probes at the 3' and 5' ends of the (generally) GAPDH and beta-actin genes to measure RNA quality, and additional probes spiked in during the latter stages of the sample preparation process are used to verify hybridisation efficiency. These probes (BioB, BioC, BioD, and CreX) should be present in increasing intensity.

The function `qc` produces an object of class 'QCStats' containing QC metrics for each array in a project. It takes both processed and raw data, since it makes use of numbers generated during the mas 5.0 expression calling algorithm. Alternatively, it can be called with just the raw data, in which case it calls `call.exprs(x, "mas5")` internally. For example,

```
R> x <- read.affy("covdesc");
R> x.mas5 <- call.exprs(x, "mas5");
R> qc <- qc(x, x.mas5);
```

Note that we have used `call.exprs(x, "mas5")` to normalise the data using the mas 5.0 algorithm. This is important because `call.exprs` stores some additional data in the AffyBatch object's `description@preprocessing` slot:

```
R> x.mas5@description@preprocessing
```

`sfs` is a list of scale factors for each chip, `tgt`, the target intensity they were scaled to.

`qc` returns an object containing scale-factors, % present, average, minimum, maximum and mean background intensities, and `bioB`, `bioC`, `bioD` and `creX` present calls (1=present; 0=not present). It also stores 3', 5' and M values for the QC probes. `ratios(qc)` generates a table of qc ratios for these probes. See `?qc` for more details.

For some arrays, there are more than one probeset that target the `gapdh` and `beta-actin` genes. In this situation, we've attempted to make a sensible choice as to which probeset to use. To find out which probesets are used for your arrays use the methods `getGapdh3`, `getGapdhM`, `getGapdh5`, `getActinb3`, `getActinbM`, `getActinb5`, `getBioB`, `getBioC`, `getBioD`, and `getCreX`.

The qc functions and detection p value code have not been tested on every chip type available - the majority of development has been on HGU95A arrays and newer. Again, for more details of what chips it has been tested on, how the testing was done, and the results of the comparisons, see the [simpleaffy](http://simpleaffy.org) website.

```
R> getGapdh3(cleancdfname(cdfName(x))) #where x is an exprSet object
```

A plot of qc data can also be obtained by `plot(qc)`. The resultant image takes a bit of explaining. The three dotted circles represent 3-fold up-regulation, zero change and three-fold down regulation (labelled +3, 0 and -3) respectively. Each array is represented by a segment of the image, with circles and triangles representing `beta-actin` and `gapdh` 3'/5' ratios, respectively. High 3'/5' ratios signal an issue with the labelled RNA - any ratios greater than 3 are signalled by plotting these values in red.

Affymetrix's QC procedures state that scale factors for array should be within 3-fold of each other if the chips are to be comparable. Scale factor for each array is plotted as a line from the zero-fold line to a point representing its scale factor. The blue ring represents the region where scale factors are within this 3-fold limit (centered around the mean scale factor for all chips). Any scale-factor lines that fall outside this ring represent arrays that have a scale factor that is more than 3-fold different from its peers. If this happens the lines are coloured red.

Around the outside of the figure percentage present and background levels are shown. These should in general be consistent with one another. If there is large variation these values are shown in red. In short if anything in the figure shows up red, it is worthy of further investigation!

## 4 Filtering by expression measures

When R loaded the .CEL files, it also used the data in the covdesc file to define which experimental groups the chips belonged to. The `get.array.subset` function makes it easy to select a subest of arrays from the experiment. For example,

```
R> get.array.subset(x.rma,"treatment",c("a","n"))
```

will return an `exprSet` containing just the chips corresponding to treatment with drug 'a' or or with no drug at all.

The function `pairwise.comparison` allows you to take a subset of chips and perform the following analyses on it:

1. find means of the data,
2. compute log2 fold changes between the means,
3. compute a t-test between the groups,
4. possibly compute MAS5.0 style detection *p* values and Present/Marginal/Absent calls.

it returns a 'PairComp' object containing the results of the analysis:

```
R> results <- pairwise.comparison(  
  x.rma,                ## processed data  
  "treatment",          ## the factor in covdesc  
                        ## to use to group arrays  
  c("n","a"),           ## groups to compare  
  raw.data              ## for PMA calls  
)
```

This function is implemented in C for speed - and does everything in one go. There are no individual functions for fold-change and p-value, because it works out quicker simply to get everything and to discard the stuff you don't need.

Note that detection p values are computed using the function `detection.p.val`. This makes use of two parameters (alpha1 and alpha2) that are, like the control probes described above, dependent on the array type you're analysing. Use `getAlpha1` and `getAlpha2` to find out what values are being used for your array.

If you only have two groups of replicates - a simple control v. treatment experiment, for example, things are even easier, you do not have to specify the members to compare since the function can work it out from the group:

```

R> results <- pairwise.comparison(
  x.rma,                ## processed data
  "treatment",          ## the factor in covdesc
  spots=raw.data        ## for PMA calls
)
R> ## Find the 100 most changing genes
R> sort(abs(fc(results)),decreasing=TRUE)[1:100]

```

Since `call.exprs` *always* returns logged data, `pairwise.comparison` expects logged data by default – this can be changed with the `logged` parameter.

Averages can be calculated 3 ways:

1. From the unlogged values – i.e. `log2(mean(replicates))`.
2. From the logged values – i.e. `mean(log2(replicates))`.
3. From the median – i.e. `log2(median(replicates))`

..and then the `log2(fold change)` values are simply worked out from these.

By default, unlogged values are used, but the parameter, `method`, allows you to change this by specifying `method='unlogged','logged'` or `'median'`.

*Note* that if you have no replicates in one or both of your experimental groups - so that you have only one control or treatment chip, for example, the function returns a p-score of 0.0 for each t-test comparison *rather than generating an error* complaining that there are not enough observations.

The function `pairwise.filter` takes the output of `pairwise.comparison` and filters it for significantly changing genes:

```

R> # find genes expressed with an intensity
R> # greater than 10 on at least 6 chips,
R> # that show a fold change greater than 1.5
R> # and are significantly different
R> # between groups with a t-test p.value
R> # of 0.001 or better
R> significant <- pairwise.filter(
  results,
  min.exp=log2(10),
  min.exp.no=6, fc=log2(1.5),
  tt= 0.001)

```

If the pairwise comparison object was created from MAS 5.0 data, it can be filtered by Present Marginal Absent calls. Each gene is tested to see how many arrays it is called present on and this value used to decide whether the transcript passes the filter. Two ways of doing this are possible: the first simply sees if the transcript is Present

on more than `min.present.no` arrays in the experiment. To do this, the parameter `present.by.group` must be set to `FALSE`.

The second makes use of the replicate groups used to calculate the `pairwise.comparison` object. In this case, the transcript must be present by more than `min.present.no` arrays in at least one of the two experimental groups. For this `present.by.group` must be `TRUE`. This is useful because it provides a way of trying to identify transcripts that have gone from 'off' to 'on', i.e. from being called Absent in one set to Present in the other, as well as those that are Present on all arrays.

For both methods of filtering, if `min.present.no="all"` is specified instead of a number, the transcript must be present in "all" arrays of a replicate group (or the whole experiment, depending on `present.by.group`).

For example:

```
R> # find genes present on all chips,
R> # that show a fold change greater than 1.5
R> # and are significantly different
R> # between groups with a t-test p.value
R> # of 0.001 or better
R> significant <- pairwise.filter(
  results,
  min.present.no="all",
  present.by.group=F, fc=log2(1.5),
  tt= 0.001)

R> # find genes present on all chips in at least one replicate group,
R> # that show a fold change greater than 1.5
R> # and are significantly different
R> # between groups with a t-test p.value
R> # of 0.001 or better
R> significant <- pairwise.filter(
  results,
  min.present.no="all",
  present.by.group=T, fc=log2(1.5),
  tt= 0.001)
```

## 4.1 Paired replicates

In the above example, we simply lumped all the replicates together when we calculated the t-tests. Ideally, we would like to design experiments so that each treatment is matched by a control sample that mirrors the protocols and processes it was subjected to as closely as possible.

This is particularly important if we are going to be processing replicates on separate days, or perhaps with different operators. A protocol that requires incubation on the



bench for a couple of hours, say, might behave differently on a hot day and we would like the control samples to pick this sort of thing up.

The `pairwise.comparison` function lets us do a t-test with paired replicates, by specifying the ordering of replicates within groups.

```
R> results <- pairwise.comparison(  
  x.rma,                ## processed data  
  "treatment", c("n","a"), ## groups to compare  
  raw.data,  
  a.order=c(1,3,2),      ## a.1 matches b.1  
  b.order=c(1,2,3))      ## a.3 matches b.2 etc...  
R> ## Find the 100 most changing genes  
R> sort(abs(fc(results)),decreasing=TRUE)[1:100]
```

Here, we have specified the order the replicate samples should be compared to each other - so that replicate 3 in group a is compared to replicate 2 in group b, and so on.

Again, the help pages for these functions explain more about them and the values they return.

## 5 Viewing results

The function `trad.scatter.plot` does a scatter plot between a pair of vectors:

```
R> trad.scatter.plot(exprs(x.rma)[,1],exprs(x.rma)[,3],  
  fc.line.col="lightblue",col="blue");  
R> trad.scatter.plot(exprs(x.rma)[,2],exprs(x.rma)[,4],  
  add=T,col="red");  
R> legend(2,12,c("Control v. treatment rep 1","Control v. treatment rep 2"),  
  col=c("blue","red"),pch=20)
```

Generic plot functions also exist for `pairwise.comparison` objects.

`plot(pairwise.comparison)` will do a straight scatter plot of the means of the two replicate groups. In addition the parameter `type` can be used:

```
R> plot(results,type="scatter") #scatter plot  
R> plot(results,type="ma")      #M v A plot  
R> plot(results,type="volcano") #volcano plot
```

If PMA calls are available, the function will colour the points as follows:

1. Red – all present
2. Orange – all present in one group or the other

### 3. Yellow – all that remain

If a second `pairwise.comparison` object is supplied, then these points are drawn (by default) as blue circles. This allows the results of a `pairwise.filter` to be identified on the graph - eg.:

```
R> # find genes present on all chips in at least one replicate group,
R> # that show a fold change greater than 1.5
R> # and are significantly different
R> # between groups with a t-test p.value
R> # of 0.001 or better
R> results <- pairwise.comparison(
  x.rma,                ## processed data
  "treatment", c("n","a"), ## groups to compare
  raw.data,
  a.order=c(1,3,2),      ## a.1 matches b.1
  b.order=c(1,2,3))      ## a.3 matches b.2 etc...
R> significant <- pairwise.filter(
  results,
  min.present.no="all",
  present.by.group=T, fc=log2(1.5),
  tt= 0.001)
R> plot(results,significant)
```

## 5.1 Heatmaps

Simpleaffy also has a pair of functions for plotting simple heatmaps, `hmap.eset` and `hmap.pc` for AffyBatch and PairComp objects, respectively. The simplest use is as follows:

```
R> #generate a heatmap of the first 100 genes in an expression set.
R> eset <- read.affy()
R> eset.rma <- call.exprs(eset)
R> hmap.eset(eset.rma,1:100)
```

You can specify colours using the 'col' parameter either by giving the function a vector of colors (e.g. `rainbow(21)`) or by the following strings:

1. "bwr" – from blue to red via white
2. "rbg" – from red to green via black
3. "ryw" – from red to white via yellow

By default, colouring is such that the colours are scaled so that all the data in the heatmap fits in the colour range supplied. Alternatively, minimum and maximum values for the colour range can be specified. Clustering functions or trees generated by `hclust` or `dendrogram` can also be provided – by default 1-Pearson correlation is used. See `?hmap.eset` for more details.

If you have the results of a `pairwise.filter`, you can use this to select the samples and probesets to plot:

```
R> #generate a heatmap of the first 100 probesets in an expression set.
R> eset <- read.affy()
R> eset.rma <- call.exprs(eset)
R> pc <- pairwise.comparison(eset.rma, 'group', c('a', 'b'))
R> pc.f <- pairwise.filter(pc, tt=0.001, fc=1)
R> hmap.pc(pc.f, eset.rma)
```

By default, this scales the colouring for each probeset in terms of its standard deviation. This is done as follows:

1. The replicate groups used for the pairwise comparison are found. The s.d. for each probeset is calculated for each group, and the results averaged to give a mean standard deviation for each probeset.
2. Data are clustered as before
3. Each probeset is scaled by its standard deviation, and coloured according to how many s.d.s it is from its mean.
4. The samples used for the pairwise comparison are plotted (by default)

The parameter `'scale'` can be used to turn this scaling off, and `spread` defines how many standard deviations above and below the mean should be shown. see `?hmap.pc` for more details.

## 5.2 Printing

Another thing that can sometimes be tricky is producing figures for papers and presentations. Two utility functions, `journalpng` and `screenpng` make it easy to generate .png files at 300dpi (huge) and 72dpi. Most journals accept .pngs, and they can be converted into other formats using a decent graphics package.

R uses the concept of a *device* to deal with graphics. When you start to plot a graph, it looks for a graphics device to print it on. If it can't find one that's already there, it opens a new one, which by default corresponds to a window on the screen. In order to generate a *file* (rather than a window) containing our figure, we can use `journalpng` and `screenpng` to create a new graphics device that R can use instead. This device results in our graph being plotted to a file on disk - when you type something like:

```
R> journalpng(file="results/figure1.png");
R> trad.scatter.plot(exprs(x.rma)[,1],exprs(x.rma)[,3],fc.line.col="lightblue");
R> dev.off();
```

a new png file is created, the scatterplot is printed into that file and then, when `dev.off()` is called, the data is saved. Note that because R is plotting to our `journalpng` device rather than the screen, we won't see any pictures (or anything happen at all).

### 5.3 Generating a table of results

It would also be nice to know what our changing genes actually do. The function `get.annotation` takes a list of probe set names along with a string specifying the type of array we are looking at, and uses these to look up annotation for our data. The resulting dataframe, when saved as a tab delimited .XLS file, loads into excel, with hyperlinks to the NCBI's Unigene and LocusLink databases.

Two functions `results.summary` and `write.annotation` are also useful - the former generates a summary table with expression data and associated annotation, the latter spits it out in tab delimited format (see `?get.annotation` for more details):

```
R> x      <- read.affy()
R> x.rma  <- call.exprs(x,"rma")
R> pw     <- pairwise.comparison(x.rma,"drug",c("formulaX","nothing"))
R> pw.filtered <- pairwise.filter(pw)
R> summary <- results.summary(pw.filtered,"hgu133a")
R> write.annotation(file="spreadsheet.xls",summary)
```